

## SELECTION CRITERIA

**Instructions:**

*Please rank each proposal from 0 - 3 based on the criteria listed below, with 0 as the lowest score (least fit with criteria) and 3 as the highest score (most fit with criteria)*

| Category                            | Description/Criteria  | Score (0-3) | NOTES |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------|-------|
| <b>Project Summary</b>              | Project summary aligns with intended focus of SAP: To plan, design and implement conservation practices that increase agricultural productivity, improve soil and water quality, and build ecological health. |             |       |
| <b>Project Deliverables</b>         | Project deliverables are clearly stated and relevant to achieve project completion.   |             |       |
| <b>Project Impact</b>               | Project clearly benefits agricultural operation(s), water or soil quality, or non- agricultural factors such as wildlife habitat.   |             |       |
| <b>Project Timeline</b>             | Project timeline is specific and provides details on project stages and deliverables. Project will be completed before the required completion date.  |             |       |
| <b>Project Readiness</b>            | Project is "shovel ready" and in the final stages of planning. Project is ready to be implemented upon receiving the grant.   |             |       |
| <b>Additional Benefits/Outcomes</b> | Project narrative clearly describes additional benefits and outcomes not mentioned previously in the application.   |             |       |
| <b>Project Budget</b>               | Necessary items for project completion are listed and amount(s) listed are reasonable for line item(s).   |             |       |
| <b>Project Budget Narrative</b>     | Applicant clearly describes the project budget and makes a convincing argument for how the budget aligns with project tasks, outcomes, and deliverables.  |             |       |
| <b>TOTAL</b>                        |   | 0           |       |